I hereby certify that this correspondence is being ted States Postal Service as first clasenvelope addressed to: Commissioner for Patents; P.O. Box 1450; Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 on July 2, 2003.

Case 8330M # 8

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

n the Application of

Gerard Laurent Buisson, et al.

Conf. No. 6598

Serial No.: 10/008,583

Group Art Unit: 3727

Filed: November 13, 2001

Examiner: Robin Annette Hylton

Title: STRUCTURES FOR PROVIDING A REMOVABLE CLOSURE

RESPONSE TO RESTRICTION REQUIREMENT

Honorable Commissioner for Patents

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Dear Sir:

This is in response to the Office Action dated June 3, 2003 in the above-identified patent application, which sets forth a 1-month period for response. No fees are believed to be due.

REMARKS

A. Restriction Requirement

In the Action, the Examiner asserts that the claims are drawn to patentably distinct inventions. In this regard, the Examiner has issued a restriction requirement, requiring election between the following 2 groups:

> Group I: drawn to a container; Claims 27-35 are drawn to this group; and Group II: drawn to a membrane lid; Claims 1-26 are drawn to this group.

Applicants hereby traverse the restriction requirement made by the Examiner and provisionally elect Group I. Applicants reserve the right to file a petition from requirement for restriction pursuant to 37 C.F.R. 1.144.

Claims 27-35 are believed to read on elected Group I.

B. Election of Species Requirement

The Examiner also indicates that the claims are drawn to patentably distinct species. In particular, the Examiner asserts that various membrane lids depicted in the figures are